

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/453,937	05/17/2000	Tetsuro Motoyama	5244-0126-2 7303	
7:	590 09/13/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK McCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT P.C.			EXAMINER	
Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson Davis Hwy Arlington, VA 22202		y	LE, HIEU C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2153		
		DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans		09/453,937	MOTOYAMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hieu c. Le	2153			
1	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)	•	— is action is non-final.				
3)□	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>120</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>120</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

· ·pp···oution common ramour.

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The related cases have been reviewing by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention

Claim 1 recites "a sixth computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor; a seventh computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the second format processor after attempting to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor." lines 15-22. There is no recitation in the specification of attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device using the first format processor; and to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device using the second

Application/Control Number: 09/453937 Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

format processor after attempting to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device using the first format processor, how to perform it.

As to claims 2-20 refer to claim 1 rejection.

Claim 4 recites "to implement a container class" lines 2-3. There is no recitation of a container class in the specification nor how to perform it.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CAR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the , the "a sixth computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor, a seventh computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the second format processor after attempting to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor." and "the contain class" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 9, 11-13, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Motoyama [US. Pat. 5,818,603] in view of over Hanaway [U.S. Pat. No. 5,842,039].

As to claim 1, [as best understood by the Examiner] Motoyama discloses a method a computer program product, comprising: a computer storage medium and a computer program code mechanism embedded in the computer storage medium for causing a computer to control a format used for data communication between a remote receiver and at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit, the computer program codes mechanism [software program (col. 14, lines 42-53) for controlling a format used for data communication between a control system 26 (remote receiver) and least one of a digital camera 2, fax machine 4, copier 6 (devices, an appliance, etc) (Fig. 1, col. 3, line 37-col. 4, line 45)] comprising:

a first computer code device configured to provide plural communications formats capable of providing data transfer (col. 6, line 59- col. 7, line 22); a second computer code device configured to select a first format of the plural communications formats to transfer data between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an application and an

Page 5

Art Unit: 2153

application unit [after the protocol identifier and identifier and identifier version of the transmission are analyzed in order to determine the format and a format type is detected such as binary (first format) (col. 8, lines 15-45)]; a third computer code device configured to select a second format of the plural communications formats to transfer data between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an application and an application unit [the detected format could be ASCII format (second format) (col. 8, line 46-66)]; a fourth computer code device configured to collect events at the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit [incoming communications to the controller system 26 is checked to determine it's protocol and format and is parsed to determine commands, parameters or other information contained in the communication and if any other communication or function is to be formed (event) (col. 12, lines 37-53)]; a fifth computer code device configured to dynamically generate first and second format processors for implementing the first and second formats [if there is a need to send a message from the controller to the device, the message is encoded using the previously determined protocol and format (col. 13, lines 19-21) the devices are using different formats (Fig. 1)]. Motoyama does not discloses a sixth computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor; a seventh computer code device configured to attempt to transfer the collected events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an application and an application unit using the second format processor after attempting to transfer the collected

Application/Control Number: 09/453937 Page 6

Art Unit: 2153

events between the remote receiver and the at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit using the first format processor.

Hanaway discloses a method to be used with a programmable controller that can communicate with peripheral devices in two protocols. When a message is received, the controller determines if the message is in the controller's currently configured protocol (col. 3, lines 24-26). When the controller tries first protocol then tries the second protocol after the first protocol is finished (col. 6, lines 50-65, Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Hanaway's teachings to modify the computer of Motoyama by attempting to transmit (transfer) a first message in a first protocol and format before transmitting another message in a second protocol and format order to be able to communicate with devices which use varying communication protocols and formats and enable rapid detection of communication protocols used by the devices.

As to claim 2, Motoyama further discloses wherein the first computer code device comprises a library of code shared between first and second applications (fig. 1, database 28).

As to claim 3, Motoyama further discloses wherein the first computer code device comprises a dynamically linked library of code shared between first and second applications (fig. 1, database 28).

As to claim 4, Motoyama further discloses wherein the fifth computer code device comprises an eighth computer code device configured to implement a container class including an

Application/Control Number: 09/453937 Page 7

Art Unit: 2153

entry for each of the plural formats, wherein each entry includes a key and a value code device comprises a map [Fig. 10 shows a table for data formats stored in database that identifies the format type (class) and includes entries for plurality of format types, each entry includes a format type (key) and data format value (INT16, BYTES 1, etc)].

As to claim 5, Motoyama further discloses wherein the eighth computer code device comprises a map [the table of fig. 10 is a map that maps the format type to format data].

As to claim 6, Motoyama further discloses wherein the value of the eighth computer code device comprises a pointer to a function configured to dynamically generate a corresponding format processor of the first and second format processors as specified by the corresponding key [Fig. 10, shows a format ID (pointer to a function) that corresponds to the format process of different format processes a defined by format type (key)].

As to claim 9, Motoyama further discloses wherein the function configured to dynamically generate the corresponding format processor returns a format processing abstract class [Fig. 10, format type is a format processing abstract class].

As to claim 11, Motoyama further wherein the seventh computer device comprises an eighth computer code device configured to transfer the collected events using the second format in order to increase redundancy [the data is transferred in formats suitable for digital camera, printer, fax (Fig. 1)].

As to claim 12, Motoyama further discloses wherein one of the plural communications formats includes binary (col. 8, lines 40-41).

Page 8

Art Unit: 2153

As to claim 13, Motoyama further discloses wherein one of the plural communications formats includes text (col. 8, line 66-col. 9, line 2).

Claim 18 is a method analogous to the computer program product of claim 1, arguments analogous to those applied to claim 1 are applied to claim 18.

As to claims 19, refer to claim 2 rejection.

As to claims 20, refer to claim 3 rejection.

6. Claims 7, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoyama [US. Pat. 5,818,603] in view of over Hanaway [U.S. Pat. No. 5,842,039] as applied to claims 1& 6 above, and further in view of Guck [U.S. Pat. No. 5,911,776].

As to claim 7, neither Motoyama nor Hanaway discloses wherein the value further comprises an attribute for identifying whether the fifth computer code device previously dynamically generated the corresponding format processor.

Guck discloses a server using an object database to transmit any type of format by use of any protocol, the server serves various protocols received by or transmitted from the server (col. 6, lines 43-54). Once a format is converted, the converted content can be saved as a property (attribute) of the file, so it does not have to be repeated (col. 9, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Guck's teachings to modify the combined computer program product of Motoyama and Hanaway by using an attribute to identify whether a type of format have been generated

Art Unit: 2153

before in order to prevent repeating the process of generating the format if the format have been generated before and speed the processing.

As to claims 14& 16, neither Motoyama nor Hanaway wherein one of the plural communications formats includes hypertext markup language (HTML) and wherein one of the plural communications formats includes SGML.

Guck discloses a server using an object database to transmit any type of format by use of any protocol, the server serves various protocols received by or transmitted from the server (col. 6, lines 43-54). The formats used may be Microsoft Word Format or SGML or HTML (col. 6, lines 16-17).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Guck's teachings to modify the combined computer program product of Motoyama and Hanaway by using an well known and conventional formats such as SGML or HTML in order to increase the utility of the computer program product by including various formats that is usable by a large number of devices used by different users.

As to claims 15, & 17, neither Motoyama nor Hanaway discloses wherein one of the plural communications formats includes extended makeup language (XML), and wherein one of the plural communications formats includes a CSV format

Guck discloses a server using an object database to transmit any type of format by use of any protocol, the server serves various protocols received by or transmitted from the server (col. 6, lines 43-54).

Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

Guck discloses some examples of formats to used such as Microsoft word format or

SGML or HTML or ASCII text (col. 6, lines 15-28).

Guck does not explicitly disclose extended makeup language (XML) or CSV formats.

However, such formats are conventional and well known formats and the use of these

formats is obvious in order to increase the utility of computer program product by including

various formats that is usable by a large number of devices used by different users.

Claims 8, & 10 would be allowed if it overcomes the 112, 1st paragraph rejection and is

written in an independent form including all the limitations.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Hieu Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-3101. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenton Burgess, can be reached on (703) 308-7492. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Hieu Le

Primary Examine